



LEAGUE OF
WOMEN VOTERS®
MINNESOTA



CAPITOL
LETTER

MARCH 30, 2018

NEWS DASHBOARD

Deadlines

Each year the Legislature establishes deadlines for committee action on bills. Two deadlines have passed. The Legislature is in recess for the holidays until Monday, April 9.

- 1st deadline - March 22, 2018 at midnight. The first deadline is for committees to act favorably on bills in the house of origin.
- 2nd deadline - March 29, 2018 at midnight. The second deadline is for committees to act favorably on bills, or companions of bills, that met the first deadline in the other house.
- 3rd deadline - April 20, 2018 at midnight. The third deadline is for committees to act favorably on major appropriation and finance bills.
- Bills may still be acted upon after these deadlines when approved by each chamber's rules committees.
- The Minnesota Constitution requires that the legislature adjourn on May 21. They may not take official action on that last day, and thus the final deadline for legislative action is May 20.

Hot Issues

- **Election Cybersecurity**
Minnesota has [received federal funding](#) for improving cybersecurity for our elections.

Voting Rights & Election Laws

- **Legislative Auditor Report on Voter Registration**
The Legislative Auditor's Report [was released](#) on Friday, March 23. LWV Minnesota [published a statement](#) about the report.

BILL TRACKING: VOTING RIGHTS & ELECTION LAW

Relevant position(s): The League of Women Voters of the United States believes that voting is a fundamental citizen right that must be guaranteed. Support improvements in election laws regulating election procedures, voting, and school district elections. Allow citizens living in the community on probation or parole to vote.

SF3839

Statewide voter registration system modernizing, securing and updating appropriation

- **Position:** Support
- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** See companion bill HF4154 below
- **Last Action:** Referred to State Government Finance and Policy and Elections
- **Action Date:** 3/27/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/973937>

SF3846

Presidential nomination primary law modifications and mail balloting authorization; technical changes

- **Last Action:** Referred to State Government Finance and Policy and Elections
- **Action Date:** 3/27/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/974572>

SF3829

Trainee election judge school credit authorization; bilingual high school students to serve as trainee election judges recruiting requirement

- **Last Action:** Referred to State Government Finance and Policy and Elections
- **Action Date:** 3/26/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/973541>

SF3728

Mail balloting in towns or cities with few registered voters

- **Last Action:** Referred to State Government Finance and Policy and Elections
- **Action Date:** 3/21/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/972275>

SF3453

Constitutional amendment to change the state and local election voting age from 18 to 16

- **Last Action:** Referred to State Government Finance and Policy and Elections
- **Action Date:** 3/15/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/970351>

SF3374

Eligible individuals at least 17 years of age voter preregistration authorization; automatic voter registration of applicants for a drivers license, instruction permit or state identification card establishment; election security training by the secretary of state requirement; grants for the purchase or lease of electronic rosters appropriation; statewide voter registration system upgrades appropriation

- **Position:** Support
- **Last Action:** Referred to State Government Finance and Policy and Elections
- **Action Date:** 3/14/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/970114>

SF3325

Ranked-choice voting political subdivision establishment or enforcement prohibition

- **Position:** Oppose
- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Heard in Senate State Government on 3/27/2018. Rep. Koran testified that this was a bill to protect voters from the confusion of ranked choice voting and claimed that the benefits of RCV like increased civility and candidate diversity had not proven itself so far in Minnesota. Secretary of State Steve Simon testified against the bill, noting that this should be left to local decision-making. Deb Brinkman testified for LWV St. Louis Park and LWV Minnesota against the bill, because LWV Minnesota supports the option for local communities to adopt RCV. FairVote MN, League of Minnesota Cities, Minneapolis City Council, St. Paul City Council, and Rochester City Council also opposed, as well as several private citizens. Only one person, Shawn Towles, testified in favor of the bill. Passed on a party line vote with GOP in favor, DFL against. Sen. Rest objected to the bill going to General Orders because it did not meet the first deadline for bills, which was the week before. Sen. Kiffmeyer sent the bill to the Rules Committee, where it awaits further action.
- **Last Action:** Joint rule 2.03, referred to Rules and Administration
- **Action Date:** 3/29/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/969782>

HF951

Civil right to vote restored to an individual upon release from incarceration or upon sentencing if no incarceration is imposed, notice required, and county attorney obligation to promptly investigate voter registration and eligibility repealed.

- **Position:** Support
- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Kay Kessel, with additional information from ACLU - Rep. Dehn (author) recruited two testifiers to speak in favor of the bill: Eli Darris, with ACLU-MN, and former Minnesota Supreme Court Justice Paul Anderson (appointed by Republican governor). No other public testimony was taken. The criticisms were ones we have heard before, that this is part of people's sentence and that it was unfair to victims. This bipartisan bill had much support in community but not enough on the committee. Ultimately, the bill was tabled by a 8-7 vote with one republican, Rep. Nick Zerwas joining with all the democrats to vote against

tabling it. Read more and watch the hearing at:

<https://www.house.leg.state.mn.us/SessionDaily/Story/13162>

- **Last Action:** Committee tabled
- **Action Date:** 3/21/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/819872>

HF4153

Voting system grant account requirements modified, and money appropriated.

- **Position:** Support
- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Heard in House State Government Operations on 3/22/2018. Funds election equipment through grants. Secretary of State Steve Simon testified in support. Some concerns were expressed about only being able to apply for a grant every 15 years, but it appeared that the author was open to addressing that concern in the future. Passed unanimously.
- **Last Action:** Committee report, to adopt and re-refer to State Government Finance
- **Action Date:** 3/26/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/973122>

HF4154

Statewide voter registration system modernization, security, and update funding provided; and money appropriated.

- **Position:** Support
- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Heard in House State Government Operations on 3/22/2018. Funding to modernize and upgrade the statewide voter registration system, including for cybersecurity. Secretary of State Steve Simon testified in support. Passed unanimously.
- **Last Action:** Committee report, to adopt and re-refer to State Government Finance
- **Action Date:** 3/26/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/973060>

SF3021

Elections administration technical changes

- **Position:** Monitor
- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Heard in Senate State Government on 3/29/2018. Senate Research Counsel provided the following summary:
 - Section 1 requires county auditors to submit postal verification card reports to the secretary of state by February 15 of each odd-numbered years. The report must cover the state general election from the previous year.
 - Sections 2 includes language that is deleted in section 3 and moved into section 2.
 - Section 3 includes additional clarifying language.

- Section 4 specifies that if more than one voted ballot is returned in an absentee ballot envelope, the ballots are spoiled. This will allow voters at the presidential primary to vote one ballot and return a blank ballot without both being spoiled.
- Section 5 allows cities to require write-in candidates to request that their votes be counted. The request must be made at least seven days before the election. Alternatively, a city may opt to count write-in votes only if the total number of write-in votes for that candidate is equal to or greater than the fewest number of non-write-in votes for a ballot candidate. The city must adopt a resolution to make either of these changes.
- Section 6 requires local governments to designate changes in polling places by December 31. If no changes are made to polling places, the local government does not have to re-designate the same polling places.
- Section 7 allows mail elections to include an office when an election has overlapping school and municipality jurisdictions and one of the jurisdictions also has a question on the ballot.
- Section 8 allows election judges to presume that the total count of prepackaged ballots is correct when counting ballots at the end of the election.
- Section 9 clarifies that period to request a recount for a local election starts at the close of the canvass.
- Section 10 specifies that for a special primary contest for a legislative office, the notice of contest must be filed within two days after the canvass is completed.
- Section 11 clarifies that the same ballot title is used regardless of whether the election is in an odd- or even-numbered year.
- Section 12 changes the term “sample ballot” to “example ballot.”
- Section 13 requires a person elected at a special election for a town office to take and subscribe the oath required by law within ten days after receiving a certificate or notice of election or appointment.

Through several amendments, it has become the Senate Elections Omnibus Bill. Most amendments were noncontroversial. However, one amendment altered how cities and towns could switch from even-year elections to odd-year elections. League of Minnesota Cities was neutral on the bill, as was Secretary of State. Only one city testified against, with several private citizens from that city testifying in favor. DFL members opposed this amendment, but it passed on a party-line vote. Due to the last amendment, DFL members opposed the bill as amended, but it passed on a party-line vote.

- **Last Action:** Committee report, to adopt
- **Action Date:** 3/29/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/966800>

SF2694

Voter registration information provision by state agencies requirement

- **Position:** Support
- **Last Action:** Comm report: To pass as amended and re-refer to Environment and Natural Resources Finance
- **Action Date:** 3/19/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/960635>

SF2605

School district bond referendums requirements modifications; certain information to be made available in polling places; ballot language modifications

- **Last Action:** Comm report: To pass as amended and re-refer to E-12 Finance
- **Action Date:** 3/26/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/959167>

BILL TRACKING: MONEY IN POLITICS

Relevant position(s): Support improvements in election laws regulating campaign finance.

HF3723

Exclusive representatives prohibited from requiring political contributions, state prohibited from facilitating payroll deductions for political purposes, corporations required to report to shareholders on political contributions or expenditures, Campaign Finance and Public Disclosure Board required to audit shareholder notification reporting, shareholder required to vote for political contributions or expenditures, and civil penalties imposed.

- **Position:** Monitor
- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** This bill would prohibit certain unions from using union dues for political expenditures. Instead, unions must raise money separately and spend in a separate account. It also prohibits payroll deductions for political expenditures by unions. AFLCIO testified against the bill, calling it a "paycheck deception" bill. They testified that union members may already opt out from political activity and that members vote on how money gets spent on political activity. Rep. Nelson offered an amendment to require corporations to report political activity and receive shareholder consent before spending more than \$10,000 on political activity. He phrased it as "what's good for the goose is good for the gander." The amendment was passed. The bill passed, as amended.
- **Last Action:** Committee report, to adopt as amended and re-refer to State Government Finance
- **Action Date:** 3/26/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/969190>

HF3806

State elections campaign account modified, public subsidy program access expanded, and political party designations on state income and property tax return forms eliminated.

- **Position:** Monitor
- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Heard in House State Government 3/22/2018. Minnesota income tax return forms have a checkbox to designate \$5 to go to a particular political party. The amount does not affect your tax refund or tax owed. Instead, it is an instruction the state to pay that amount into an account called the State Elections Campaign Fund for the party of your choice. Money from the state's general treasury funds the checkoff; not money from the filers using the checkoff.

This bill removes the political party account designations on the tax checkoff, leaving only the general state elections campaign account for providing the subsidies to candidates (in addition to the tax checkoff, this account gets a \$1.02 million statutory appropriation for each general election cycle). Thus, this bill would alter the public campaign subsidy program so that voters would not be able to designate money to go directly to parties. Instead, public campaign subsidies would be allocated only to candidates.

The bill also removes the minimum money raised to receive a public campaign subsidy. Several members questioned whether that was fiscally responsible, because "unserious" candidates would receive a taxpayer subsidy. The bill also slightly expands the ability of minor parties to qualify for the political contribution refund program.

Passed on a party line vote, with all DFL opposing and all GOP in favor. During the debate of the bill, members noted that the DFL Party received much more money from the tax checkoff program than GOP. GOP members argued that this is unfair. DFL members argued that this is fair because it's what taxpayers asked for when they used the checkoff. Rep. Peterson argued that the purpose of the public subsidy campaign is to support candidates, rather than parties, and thus the program needed amended to reflect that purpose.

Passed on party line vote, DFL against, GOP in favor.

- **Last Action:** Committee report, to adopt and re-refer to State Government Finance
- **Action Date:** 3/26/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/970197>

HF3837

Disclosure, independent expenditures, noncampaign disbursements, reporting requirements, coordinated and noncoordinated expenditures, and other campaign finance provisions amended; and new definitions added.

- **Position:** Support, preferably amended
- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Companion to SF 3606. Rep. O'Driscoll offered an author's amendment to clarify some language and narrow the exemption for donor lists from coordination. The amendment passed unanimously. Nick Harper, Civic Engagement Manager,

testified saying that LWV Minnesota appreciated the amendment, but that it did not fix the underlying problem. He again testified in favor of the bill, but seeking an amendment addressing three concerns about candidate coordination with Super PACs. Rep. Halverson and Rep. Youakim both questioned the bill presenter and Jeff Sigurdson, Executive Director of the CFPD Board, about the coordinated expenditure provisions. Rep. Nelson offered an amendment that would require PACs to add a disclaimer of their largest funders to their political ads. The amendment failed 7-10 (all DFL in favor, all GOP against). Rep. Halverson offered an amendment to include additional disclosures for electioneering communications but withdrew the amendment before a vote. (It would not have passed in a vote anyhow.) Four or five other public testifiers also had concerns about the coordination provisions. 29 letters of testimony with the same concerns were also provided to the committee members in writing.

- **Last Action:** Committee report, to adopt as amended and re-refer to Civil Law and Data Practices Policy
- **Action Date:** 3/26/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/970786>

SF3306

Campaign finance additional definitions; disclosure, independent expenditures, noncampaign disbursements, reporting, coordinated and noncoordinated expenditures, and miscellaneous campaign finance modifications

- **Position:** Support, preferably amended
- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Heard by Senate State Government and Elections 3/15/2018. Overall a good bill based on the draft rules proposed by the Campaign Finance & Public Disclosure Board. However, the bill differed from the draft rules in a few ways. Nick Harper, Civic Engagement Manager, testified in favor of the bill but also seeking three amendments to address some concerns related to coordination between candidates and political spenders, including Super PACS. LWV Minnesota asked that the bill be amended to return to the language used in the CFPD Board's drafted rules. First, to restore the time frame to protect against coordination from 10 months back to 24 months. Second, that domestic partners and spouses of candidates automatically be considered as agents of candidates when the spouse or domestic partner runs a Super PAC. The rationale behind including spouses as agents automatically is that it is unreasonable to believe that a candidate and their spouse would not discuss nonpublic details of the campaign, which would otherwise be coordinated expenditures. Finally, the bill included a new exemption for coordination. A candidate could provide a list of potential donors to a spender and that would not be considered coordination. LWV Minnesota asked that this exception be removed.
- **Last Action:** Author added Carlson
- **Action Date:** 3/22/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/969497>

BILL TRACKING: NATURAL RESOURCES

Relevant Position(s): Promote an environment beneficial to life through the protection and wise management of natural resources in the public interest by recognizing the interrelationships of air quality, energy, land use, waste management and water resources.

HF3606

Counties, cities, and Towns prohibited from regulating auxiliary containers.

- **Position:** Oppose
- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Ellen Silva, Marcy Woodruff - Heard in House Government Operations 3/28/2018. Prohibits counties, cities, and towns from regulating take-out containers, shopping bags, etc. Many testifiers - in person, 13 against, 4 in favor; in written testimony, one letter from private citizen, one letter from large group of organizations (20 organizations signed on).

Arguments against came from a variety of groups - cities, recycling groups and facilities, restaurants who have thrived using green packaging, faith and health groups, and environmental organizations and included: environmental and health impact of some of the materials banned in city/county actions, overreach of authority by state (and/or unfunded mandate to cities), removal of tools that localities need to be able to meet legislated recycling goals, bill goes against state-mandated local authority regarding solid waste, increase in cost to recycling operations because of contaminated recycling streams, harm to state pulp and paper industry, hindering of growth of greener packaging and hence slowing of future decrease in prices of greener packaging, and more.

Arguments for came from 1 small restaurant, the MN Retailers Associations, Van a paper company, and Cossetta's Restaurant and were primarily the following: it will cost more for large businesses to deal with a patchwork of regulations; it unfairly targets restaurants for cities/counties to ban certain take-out packaging when supermarkets are allowed to sell the same packaging; increased price of to-go containers in green materials is challenge for small restaurants in low-income neighborhoods.

Questioning amongst committee members was intense. In particular, Rep. Youakim pointed out that statute 551 requires state to achieve 75% recycling and that the burden for this falls on the cities/counties and this bill will take away tools they need to achieve this goal. She likened it to an unfunded mandate. Response from the author (Rep. Christenson) pivoted to the city/county bans as unfunded mandates on businesses, a cry which was taken up by Rep. Thies, who called for freedom for businesses to operate.

Roll call vote: 8 no, 9 aye, bill moved to general register. Rep. Jessup was the only Republican to vote against the bill.

- **Last Action:** Author stricken Ecklund.
- **Action Date:** 3/29/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/969096>

SF2720

Nitrogen fertilizer rules not approved by law prohibition

- **Position:** Oppose
- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Gretchen Sabel - Heard in Senate Agriculture on 2/26/2018. Lobby Corps volunteer Gretchen Sabel testified against. Sen. Isaacson stated that holding the bill over for inclusion "pushes it down the Governor's throat" and argued that the Executive Branch has the expertise to do the rulemaking and that legislative oversight makes things inappropriately political. Sen. Hawj argued that the bill should go to the Environment Committee, since nitrate pollution is an environmental problem. A vote was held on Sen. Hawj's motion. It failed, and the bill was held over for inclusion in the omnibus agriculture bill.
- **Last Action:** Author added Utke
- **Action Date:** 3/19/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/960573>

SF2807

Municipalities constructing publicly owned treatment works regulatory certainty for effluent limitations compliance

- **Position:** Oppose
- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Ellen Silva - On March 7, the Senate Environment Committee heard SF 2807, companion bill to House 2802. Craig Johnson, lobbyist for the League of Minnesota Cities appeared to co-present with Sen. Weber. Sen. Senjem supporting providing relief to small towns that can barely afford upgrades. Sens. Torres Ray, Eaton, and Dibble questioning whether the bill would allow cities to not obey federal Clean Water Act (Eaton), create a muddying of the law that would open the door to lawsuits (Torres Ray), or make it possible for an upstream municipality to allow hazardous pollutant to harm downstream municipality. Sen. Weber claimed the wording "to the extent allowed by federal law" avoided any concern about not obeying Clean Water Act, but Sens. Eaton and Torres Ray remained doubtful. Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy opposed, claiming that the blanket exemption provided in the 2017 rules was ruled to conflict with Clean Water Act.
- **Last Action:** Second Reading
- **Action Date:** 3/12/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/962708>

BILL TRACKING: SOCIAL POLICY – EDUCATION

HF3315

Prekindergarten through grade 12 education provided, including general education, education excellence, teachers, special education, facilities and technology, nutrition, early childhood and family support, and self-sufficiency and lifelong learning.

- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Jan McLuckie - Heard in House Education Innovation Policy on 3/8/2018. Private school stakeholders described problems with obtaining teacher guides under current law. Department of Education representative voiced concerns that money was not directly benefiting students.
- **Last Action:** Committee report, to adopt as amended and re-refer to Education Finance
- **Action Date:** 3/26/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/965722>

BILL TRACKING: HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

HF3308

Older and vulnerable adult protections provided, health care and home care bills of rights modified, regulation of home care providers modified, correction order provisions modified, training and operations panel within the Office of Health Facility Complaints established, vulnerable adult maltreatment reporting requirements modified, working groups established, reports required, and money appropriated.

- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Marcy Woodruff - Heard in House Government Operations Committee 3/21/2018. Freiberg and Sandstede expressed concerns that the bill doesn't go far enough to protect vulnerable and elderly adults from abuse or that enough consumer groups were consulted for input although they support the bill overall. Two testifiers were called who support the bill. Three public testifiers spoke and the first requested that bill include requirement that people with disabilities be part of the committees created by this bill. The second testifier, from an Alzheimer's advocacy group, doesn't think the bill goes far enough to protect dementia patients.
- **Last Action:** Committee report, to adopt and re-refer to Health and Human Services Finance
- **Action Date:** 3/22/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/965791>

HF2967

Collection of information on the connection between pornography and sex trafficking required, and authorized penalty assessment expanded to include additional crimes.

- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Jan McLuckie - House Public Safety Committee on 3/8/2018. Three individuals testified including two women working with an organization to end human trafficking and a police officer. One testimony was very personal and emotional. When the committee voted to refer the bill on, many observers applauded. This was heard the same day as a rally for the Equal Rights Amendment.
- **Last Action:** Authors added Kiel, Lueck, and Jessup.
- **Action Date:** 3/29/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/960019>

BILL TRACKING: STATE GOVERNMENT FINANCE

HF3721

Contingent fee arrangements with outside counsel prohibited.

- **Notes from LWV Minnesota:** Marcy Woodruff - Heard in House Government Operations Committee 3/21/2018. One public testifier from the MN Attorney General's office, Mr. Gilbert, who did not support the bill. Rep. Nelson requested a roll call vote and bill was passed by a 10-7 margin, along party lines. This bill sparked some contentious, heated discussion. It was authored in response to the legal machinations of the 3M lawsuit and settlement. The bill disallows MN to contract for legal services on a contingent fee basis, ever.

The bill's author contends that private law firms contracted this way don't have MN's best interest in mind and will only think of their bottom line and not the taxpayers when providing legal services. The testifier, Gilbert from the AG Office explained that without the ability to contract out legal services for the 3M case that Minnesota would not have been able to afford to take the case on and likely would not have been able to receive reparations for the residents of East St. Paul. DFL members pointed out that Republicans have pushed for and supported contracting and public-private partnerships in several other bills this session and were confused as to why the Republicans on the committee were changing their tune for this bill. Rep. Quam said he thought that the State AG legal team should be sharp enough to represent MN in lawsuits and not need outside help. The outside law firm who was contracted to help with the 3M case did make good bank for their efforts and this seemed to be a concern of the Republicans on the committee, too.

The bill seems to be addressing a very narrow perceived problem. Rep. Anderson advised that MN doesn't have a widespread problem with contingent fee legal services but that she thinks it's necessary to head off potential future issues. This bill could have an adverse impact on any future large, long lawsuits like the 3M situation. Especially if there are lawsuits later in the Iron

Range or other parts of MN where mining, pipelines, etc., could cause contamination issues down the road.

- **Last Action:** Committee report, to adopt and re-refer to State Government Finance
- **Action Date:** 3/22/2018
- **More Info:** <https://www.billtrack50.com/BillDetail/969172>

WATER ACTION DAY 2018 – MAY 2

LWV Position: Promote an environment beneficial to life through the protection and wise management of natural resources in the public interest.

- **The Issue:** Clean Water. Minnesota’s water is our crown jewel, and it has been under assault for a number of years. It’s been everything from the pressure to “streamline” our regulatory procedures to eliminating the sulfide standard for wild rice.
- **Event:** Water Action Day 2018, a day of public action and advocacy to let lawmakers know we care about our water, expect them to share our concern, and to take action.
- **When:** Wednesday, May 2, 8:00 a.m. – 4:00 p.m. Come for all or just part of the day.
- **Where:** Christ Lutheran Church, 105 University Ave. W., St. Paul (across University from the Capitol); Minnesota State Capitol
- **Register:** At eventbrite.com. Register early to be sure of an appointment with your legislators.
- **More Information:** This all-day event will include complimentary breakfast and lunch, training on the issues, meetings with your legislators, and a rally in the Capitol Rotunda.

Schedule:

- Complimentary breakfast: 8:00 – 10:00 am
- Training and issue briefings: 9:00 – Noon
- Meetings with your representatives: throughout the day
- Complimentary lunch: 11:00 – 1:00 pm
- Rally in the Rotunda at 1:30 pm
- Youth Summit with Governor Dayton: TBD

- **Bus transportation:** Buses are being coordinated from multiple locations across Greater Minnesota, including Houston, Austin, Duluth, Detroit Lakes and more! Please reserve your spot on your preferred bus route when you register.
- **Parking:** Parking information (both free and low cost) and transit information is included in your registration confirmation email.
- **Additional Information:** Organizers will distribute additional information, including schedules, transportation options, policy highlights and more, to all participants in advance of Water Action Day.